CPIP Year End Report for Social Development Programs

Organia	zation Name:	
Prograi	m Name:	
Report	arly Identification	
	Crime Prevention Level	
1.	Crime Prevention Level: (Should match current Theory of Change.)	
	Early Identification	
	Prevention of Re-offending	
	Siim ohksin: Wahkotiwin	
	Program Activities	
2.	Describe program activities implemented in this time period. This should match the 'strategy' section of	
	current Theory of Change. If actual program activities are different than planned activities as described in	
	the Theory of Change, explain why.	
	Clients, Contacts, Volunteers, Volunteer Hours	
3.	Report the number of unique individual clients or participants over the past year where a client file was	
	opened (number)	
	•Individuals should only be counted once per program.	
	Do not include 'contacts' because they are counted separately	
	*Bo not include contacts because they are counted separately	
4.	Please provide any available information the program collects on participant demographics (e.g. age,	
	gender, ethnicity, Indigeneity, immigration status, etc.)	
5.	Program recruitment and completion information:	
	Number of individual clients/participants who begin the program:	
	Number of individual clients/participants who complete the program	
	If you have additional information on program recruitment and completion, share it here:	
6.	If the program is experiencing challenges with recruitment and retention, describe efforts to address	
	them. If the program is not experiencing challenges with recruitment and retention, write "not	
	applicable".	
		

7.	Report the number of contacts. A contact is a service where no client file is opened. It includes but is not limited to: telephone inquiries, drop-ins, one-time assistance with forms or referrals, participation in one-time information or education sessions, participation in community events, etc.
	• Do not include the number of times a worker meets with a client from the 'individual clients/participants served' category.
	Do not include the number of web hits received.
	Do not include the number of newsletters or brochures distributed.
8.	Comments regarding number of contacts.
9.	Indicate the number of volunteers that provided support that directly benefited your program (e.g., volunteers who provide administrative support, direct program support, governance, fundraising, etc.) (number)
	 Volunteers should be counted only once. If volunteers provide support that directly benefits more than one CPIP funded program, please count them in only one of the program's Year End Reports.
10.	Comments regarding number of volunteers.
11.	Report the total number of hours that all of your volunteers have worked to support your program during this 12-month reporting period
12.	Comments regarding number of volunteer hours.
	Mid-Term Outcomes
	ults of formal or informal data/information collection to describe the extent to which the program is By influencing each risk and/or protective factor identified in the current Theory of Change.
isk/Pr	otective Factor #1: (dropdown list, should match current TOC)
13.	Is the program positively influencing this risk or protective factor? Below you will be asked to explain what data/information you used to come to this conclusion.

ly influencing this risk/protective factor (sub-questions only required if
ng formal methods showing positive influence (e.g. surveys or interviews ords, systematic observation of programs). To attach reports click to report, write 'none.':
ng informal observation or stories from participants or staff showing nal methods to report, write 'none.'):
had little or no positive influence on this risk/protective factor (subsched)
ng formal methods showing little or no positive influence (e.g. surveys or ogram records, systematic observation of programs). To attach reports hods to report, write 'none.':
ng observation or stories from participants or staff showing little or no hal methods to report, write 'none.'):
(dropdown list, should match current TOC)
ncing this risk or protective factor? Below you will be asked to explain ed to come to this conclusion.
ly influencing this risk/protective factor (sub-questions only required if
ng formal methods showing positive influence (e.g. surveys or interviews

 que	No, so far the program has had little or no positive influence on this risk/protective factor (substions only required if this box is checked)
	Data/information collected using formal methods showing little or no positive influence (e.g. surveys interviews with participants, program records, systematic observation of programs). To attach report click "attach", if no formal methods to report, write 'none.':
	Data/information collected using observation or stories from participants or staff showing little or no positive influence. (If no informal methods to report, write 'none.'):
/Pr	otective Factor #3:(dropdown list, should match current TOC)
	Is the program positively influencing this risk or protective factor? Below you will be asked to explain what data/information you used to come to this conclusion.
this	Yes, the program is positively influencing this risk/protective factor (sub-questions only required box is checked)
	Data/information collected using formal methods showing positive influence (e.g. surveys or interviewith participants, program records, systematic observation of programs). To attach reports click "attach", if no formal methods to report, write 'none.':
	Data/information collected using informal observation or stories from participants or staff showing positive influence. (If no informal methods to report, write 'none.'):

Data/information collected using formal methods showing little or no positive influence (e.g. surveys of interviews with participants, program records, systematic observation of programs). To attach reports click "attach", if no formal methods to report, write 'none.':
Data/information collected using observation or stories from participants or staff showing little or no positive influence. (If no informal methods to report, write 'none.'):
Continuous Improvement
Drawing on formal and informal data/information collected, what is working well with the program are why? How will the program sustain these successes?
Drawing on formal and informal data/information collected, what isn't working well with the program and why? How will these challenges be addressed going forward?
If there have been any contextual changes to the program, for instance in program goals, population served, activities, please describe them here.
To aid CPIP in its own continuous improvement efforts, please provide feedback on ways in which this reporting process could be improved.
Additional Comments